

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/593,590	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHUNSUKE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SOPHIE HON	<b>Art Unit</b> 1798	

**All Participants:**(1) Sophie Hon.(2) Garth Dahlen.**Status of Application:** Allowed.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2/10/11**Time:** \_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Prior art.*

Claims discussed:

*1, 3, 16, 22-23, 25-26*

Prior art documents discussed:

*US 2002/0060762***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner's amendment.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)